COOKHAM PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of a Meeting of the PLANNING COMMITTEE Held in the Community Room on Tuesday 11th October 2022, commencing at 7.30pm.

PRESENT:	Cllr B. Perry (Chairman) Cllrs L. Austin, C. Aisladie, J. Perry, I. Wernham.
Also Present:	Cllr J. Edwards There were no members of the public. No members of the press were present.

OPEN FORUM:

There were no representations.

1. APOLOGIES

Apologies received from Cllrs M. Barnes, E. Bune, I. Herd, P. Roe and Mr D. Scarff

2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST:

None.

3. PLANS TO BE CONSIDERED AND DISCUSSED:

Application Number	Current Planning Applications	Parish Council Decision
22/02682/Full App date 03/10/2022 Comments to RBWM by 07/11/22	Part single, part two storey side/rear extension. 108 Whyteladyes Ln, Cookham SL6 9LE Mr Amy Phillips	No Comment
22/02726/Full App date 10/10/2022 Comments to RBWM by 11/11/22	Single storey rear extension to existing detached garage to form a new workshop/store. Harvest Cottage Poundfield Lane Cookham SL6 9RY Mr And Mrs Munday	No Objection in principle. But CPC seek a condition that the building must always be ancillary to the main dwelling.
22/02757/Full App date 12/10/2022 Comments to RBWM by 17/11/22	New front canopy, garage conversion to include new mono pitched roof, first floor side extension and alterations to external finishes and fenestration Ripples Mill Lane Cookham SL6 9QR Mr Matt Tyne	No Comment
22/02740/Full App date 12/10/2022 Comments to RBWM by 17/11/22	Single storey side extension and 1no. rear dormer 6 Roman Lea Cookham SL6 9BZ Mr Phil Rees	No Comment
22/02758/Full App date 20/10/2022 Comments to RBWM by 18/11/22	Single storey front extension following demolition of existing element. 21 Southwood Road Cookham SL6 9LY Louisa Taroni	No Comment
22/02849/Full App date 26/10/2022 Comments to RBWM by 4/11/22	Replacement dwelling, associated parking and landscaping and a new vehicular access from Bedwins Lane. Bigfrith End Cottage Bigfrith Lane Cookham SL6 9UJ Mr Alastair Price	No Objection But CPC feel the access is unsatisfactory.

NAPPROVED 2971		
Application Number	Current Planning Applications	Parish Council Decision
22/02810/Full App date 18/10/2022 Comments to RBWM by 22/11/22	First floor front/side extension, single storey rear extension, replacement steps and change from a pitched to flat roof to the side elevation, 2no. front dormers, solar photovoltaic panels to roof valley, rear raised terrace and alterations to the veranda, chimney pots and external finish following the demolition of the existing single storey rear element. Riverdene Sutton Rd Cookham SL6 9SN Mr Patrick Eltridge	No Comment
22/02830/Full App date 21/10/2022 Comments to RBWM by 22/11/22	Single storey rear extension, increase to the exisiting habitable accommodation within the roofspace, 1no. rear dormer with Juliet balcony and alterations to fenestration. Hardings Cottage Hills Lane Cookham SL6 9NX Mr D Pickering	CPC feel there will be an overlooking issue from the juliet balcony and therefore OBJECT unless the juliet balcony is removed from the application.
22/02834/Full App date 25/10/2022 Comments to RBWM by 23/11/22	Increase of the height and single storey front/side extension to the existing garage and sedum roof. Moonraker Stone House Lane Cookham SL6 9TP Mr Jonathan Fischer	CPC declare an interest as a Neighbour (Stonehouse Common). No Objection subject to a condition that the building remains ancillary to the main building.
22/02865/Full. App date 26/10/2022 Comments to RBWM by 25/11/22	Single storey front and rear extensions, first floor front/side/rear extension, rear dormer with Juliet balcony to facilitate a loft conversion, alterations to fenestration and extension of existing vehicular access following demolition of existing element. 88 Westwood Green Cookham Maidenhead SL6 9DE Elizabeth Keegan	 OBJECTION On the basis of Over development – height and bulk. Juliet balcony to the rear which will overlook single story housing with loss of privacy. This juliet balcony appears particularly un-neighbourly as instead of over looking road or railway it is overlooking dwellings. Increased fenestration to the west side leading to loss of privacy. CPC feel there are unsatisfactory parking arrangements.
22/02783/Full. App date 31/10/2022 Comments to RBWM by 28/11/22 22/02841/Full.	Maidenhead SL6 9RD Mr Greenwood And Ms Lang	NO OBJECTION but fenestration and finish should match the existing house.
App date 24/10/2022 Comments to RBWM by n/a		No Comment

ι	UNAPPROVED 297		
	Application	Applications for Tree Works	Parish Council Decision
	Number		
	22/02633/TCA	(T1) - Beech - Fell.	
		The Odney Club Odney Lane Cookham	Application Permitted
	App date 05/10/2022	Maidenhead SL6 9SR	
	Comments to RBWM by	Mr Rob Mills	Date of Decision: 4 th
	03/11/22		November 2022
	22/02561/TCA	(T1) Leaning Laburnum - fell; (T2) Holly	
		fell; (T3 and T4) Holly - section fell;	Application Permitted
	App date 20/09/2022	(G1) Conifers and misc shrubs and (T5)	
	Comments to RBWM by	Ash - fell.	Date of Decision: 21 October
	03/11/22	Riverdene Sutton Road Cookham	2022 (NB: Prior to requested
		Maidenhead SL6 9SN	comments by date).
		Bernice Smith	

Pl/ap 22/02561/TCA: This application appears to have been approved in advance of the completion of the public consultation as notified to the Council on a letter dated 6th October, the comments by date is recorded as 3rd November. A query was raised about the gap between the application date of 20th September and the date of the notification letter of 6th October. The Clerk to raise this with the Planning Authority.

Application Number	Applications for Tree Works	Parish Council Decision
22/02739 TCA App date 10/10/2022 Comments to RBWM by 10/11/22	T884 - Common Ash - fell, T881 - Lawson Cypress - fell, T888 - Horse Chestnut - Crown reduction to historical pruning points. The Moor Moor Hall Chartered Institute of Marketing School Lane Cookham SL6 9QH Mr Adam Oliver	NO OBJECTION But CPC ask that where a tree is felled it is replaced with a tree of native species in the interests of conservation and because of climate emergency.
22/02665/TCA App date 7/10/2022 Comments to RBWM by 10/11/22	Conifer - Fell. Retrac 1 Keeleys Cottages High Street Cookham Maidenhead SL6 9SF Mrs Rosalind Joynes	NO OBJECTION But CPC ask that where a tree is felled it is replaced with a tree of native species in the interests of conservation and because of climate emergency.
22/02823/TCA App date 19/10/2022 Comments to RBWM by 21/11/22	Goat Willow - Crown reduce 5m to leave a crown height of 4m and spread of 3m. Rose Cottage School Lane Cookham Dean SL6 9PQ Mr Jonathan Murphy	No Comment
22/02802/TCA App date 26/10/2022 Comments to RBWM by 25/11/22	Callery Pear Tree - Reduce height by 3.04m, leaving a final height of 7.62m, trim 0.5m on both sides, leaving a final spread of 3m. March Cottage Alleyns Lane Cookham SL6 9AE Aled Williams	No Comment

The Planning Committee discussed their response to applications for tree works where a tree is to be felled. The Committee agreed response is "CPC ask that where a tree is felled it is replaced with a tree of native species in the interests of conservation and because of climate emergency." The Committee queried why there is not a similar condition on an approved application from the Planning Authority.

Cllr B. Perry to write to Borough Ward Cllrs Brar and Clark, the Lead Cllrs for Planning, Cllr P Haseler, and Climate Action & Sustainability, Cllr D. Stimson, to request that Borough Policy regarding the replacement of felled trees is changed to reflect the issues surrounding the climate emergency and ask for a replacement tree.

Application received after the closure of the agenda but discussed due to the 56 day determination period and the sensitive nature of the application.

Application Number	Current Planning Applications	Parish Council Decision
22/02780/TLDTT	Application for determination as to whether prior approval is required for the installation of	After discussion Cllrs were keen to object to
App date 02/11/2022 Comments to RBWM by 30/11/22	1no. 18m monopole, supporting 6 no. antennas, 1no. wraparound equipment cabinet	this application.
30/11/22	at the base of the monopole, 2no. equipment	It was agreed that Cllr
(Determination 56 days)	cabinets, 1no. meter cabinet and ancillary development thereto	B. Perry will word a response for circulation
	Verge To The South East of Ridgemount From	to Clirs prior to
	Spring Lane To Bigfrith Lane Cookham Dean	submitting to the
	Maidenhead Agent: Miss Dianne Perry Clarke Telecom Ltd	Planning Authority.
	Unit E Madison Place Northampton Road	This will be ratified at
	Manchester	the next meeting.

It was also agreed that Cllr B. Perry will raise the issue of inappropriate placement and appearance of 5G Masts with MP Theresa May, and also RBWM Head of Planning and Lead Cllr for Planning. Although the Cmte recognise the need for the masts the masts very obtrusive and recent applications have made no attempt to site them in more sympathetic places or camouflage them in any way.

4. DECISIONS TO BE RATIFIED:

i. <u>ADDITIONAL COMMENTS FOR PLANNING APPLICATION 22/01537/OUT AND</u> 22/01540/FULL SUBMITTED TO PLANNING ON 12.08.22:

 The following decision was unanimously ratified.

 Application numbers
 Planning Application

 22/01537/OUT
 Outline application for access only to be considered at this stage with all other matters to be reserved for residential development of up to 330 new homes is

22/01537/001	matters to be reserved for residential development of up to 330 new homes, land for a primary school of up to three forms of entry with associated landscaping, open space, car parking, drainage and earthworks to facilitate surface water drainage; and all ancillary and enabling works.
22/01540/FULL	Full planning application for enabling works comprising the provision of construction access, site preparation and earthworks (in connection with outline planning application for residential development of up to 330 new homes, land for a primary school of up to three forms of entry with associated landscaping, open space, car parking, drainage and earthworks to facilitate surface water drainage; and all ancillary and enabling works). Spencer's farm, Summerleaze Road, Maidenhead. IM Land 1 Limited and Summerleaze Limited

Additional comments submitted to Planning on 12.08.22

- In its earlier comment, the Parish Council at numbers 1 -3 of its objection referred to the existing issues with the B4447, problems due to the junction of the B4447 and the A4094, traffic jams through the Cookham High Street Conservation Area, queues and pollution in the Pound and that Conservation Area and over Cookham Bridge (itself a listed structure, so not amenable to alteration, which is traffic-light controlled one way traffic), and dangers to pedestrians and to air quality as a result of all these factors.
- At point 3, CPC referred specifically to the developments in Cookham itself provided for in the adopted Borough Local Plan at site AL38 (Strande Park) where an application for 20 homes has been submitted and AL37 (Lower Mount Farm, Cannondown Road), where site strategic masterplanning for 200 homes is currently underway. These will significantly acerbate the existing issues.

- CPC wishes to add that the developments planned and being built at Slate Meadow and Hollands Farm in Bourne End, with a total of just under 1,000 homes, will obviously also seriously exacerbate these existing issues. Cookham Bridge, Cookham High Street, the Pound and the B4447 will be the major route south to Maidenhead for all the southerly traffic generated by those two large developments. There will be significantly increased pedestrian traffic hazards, queuing and hence noise and air pollution – all especially in the Pound due to its very narrow pavement, a pedestrian route for children attending Holy Trinity Primary School.
- Adding a further 300+ homes on site AL25 in accordance with these applications would obviously add yet more traffic movements through Cookham, which is the only local route across the Thames to the north. Such additions can only been described as posing 'severe' traffic problems on the road network, which under the NPPF criteria warrant refusing the relevant planning applications.
- To this must be added yet further the school traffic generated by the proposed school on the site.
- Besides its effect on traffic flows as above, all this traffic will be filtered through a single junction onto the road network, a junction already dangerous due to being right adjacent to a bend with poor sightlines and radiusing. The Parish Council considers the additional traffic at this junction a plain road and pedestrian safety hazard, on top of the extra congestion hazards for Cookham.
- It considers all the above to meet the NPPF 'severe' test justifying rejection of these applications.
- For all these additional traffic reasons, therefore. In addition to its original objections, the Parish Council urges refusal of the applications.

ii: DECISIONS SENT TO THE PLANNING AUTHORITY 26th SEPTEMBER 2022 (UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED) FOR APPLICATIONS DUE FOR DISCUSSION AT THE CANCELLED PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING 13TH SEPTEMBER BUT DEALT WITH VIA EMAIL EXCHANGE:

All decisions for the following planning applications we unanimously ratified.

Application Number	Current Planning Applications	Parish Council Decision
22/01860/FULL App date 04/08/2022 Comments to RBWM by 01/09/22	New outdoor swimming pool with associated pump/filter housing structure and surrounding decking – retrospective. Englefield Poundfield Lane Cookham SL6 9RY Mr Tyce	<i>No Comment.</i> (Submitted to Planning on 10.08.22)
22/02157/VAR App date 04/08/2022 Comments to RBWM by 05/09/22	Variation (Under Section 73a) of planning permission 21/01566 without complying with Condition 3 (en – suite windows). Danes Cottage Danes Gardens Cookham SL6 9BF Mr Scott	Objection to the removal of the condition. It was properly imposed to protect the privacy of adjoining owners and nothing relevant has changed so it remains. (Submitted to Planning on 05.09.22)
22/02245/FULL App date 15/08/2022 Comments to RBWM by 15/09/22	First floor front/side extension Rose Cottage Kings Lane Cookham SL6 9TZ. Mr Wilson	No Comment.
22/02215/FULL App date 17/08/2022 Comments to RBWM by 19/09/22	Single storey side extension, 1no.side balcony and 1no. side Juliet balcony, raising of part of the ridge and alterations to the external finish and fenestration. 1 Royal Cottages Cookham Dean Common SL6 9PA Mr Noone	No Comment.
22/02242/VAR App date 16/08/2022 Comments to RBWM by 15/09/22	Variation (under Section 73A) of approved plans to substitute those plans approved under 04/41928/FULL for the construction of two storey side extension with front and rear dormers and further two dormers to front and one to rear of existing roof and new porch canopy amendment to approved planning permission 03/41093 with amended plans. Dawn Chorus Poundfield Lane Cookham SL6 9RY Mr and Ms Oliver/Murphy	No Comment.

NAPPROVED 2975		
Application Number	Current Planning Applications	Parish Council Decision
22/02255/FULL App date 17/08/2022 Comments to RBWM by 19/09/22	Single storey side extension, raising of the eaves and ridge to create a new first floor with a new front entrance canopy, replacement garage roof and alterations to fenestration, following demolition of the existing single storey side element. Brinsdale Terrys Lane Cookham SL6 9RZ Mr and Mrs Elliott	No Comment.
22/02159/FULL App date 22/08/2022 Comments to RBWM by 20/09/22	Ground mounted solar panels in the rear garden. Orchard House Bigfrith Lane Cookham SL6 9UJ Ms Pleming	No Comment.
22/02299/FULL App date 24/08/2022 Comments to RBWM by 21/09/22	Front Porch extension. 9 Westwood Green Cookham SL6 9DD Ms Kelly	No Comment.
22/02330/FULL App date 23/08/2022 Comments to RBWM by 23/09/22	Single storey detached garage/workshop with solar panelled roof and EV charge point. Moonbeams Cottage Hills Lane Cookham SL6 9NX Mr and Mrs Korzeniowski	OBJECT - The proposal is overdevelopment: it is too large and too far from the host building. It also moves the line of development back into the Green Belt. If permitted there should be a condition preventing it from being used except as ancillary to the existing dwelling – to prevent a new separate dwelling.
22/02263/LBC App date 24/08/2022 Comments to RBWM by 22/09/22	Consent to display 1no. externally illuminated fascia sign, 1no. externally illuminated hanging sign and 3 no. externally illuminated hand written signs Kings Arms High Street Cookham SL6 9SJ Mitchells and Butler	No Comment.
22/02349/NMA App date 25/08/2022 Comments to RBWM by N/A	Non material amendments to planning permission 22/00110/FULL for alterations to fenestration to the rear dormer. 64 Westwood Green Cookham SL6 9DE Mr Clayton	No Comment.
22/02372/FULL App date 26/08/2022 Comments to RBWM by 29/09/22	Single storey rear extension Dene Glade Lower Road Cookham SL6 9EL. Mrs Birchall	No Comment.
22/02416/FULL App date 02/09/2022 Comments to RBWM by 04/10/22	Replacement Annex and associated parking Garage Mill House East Mill Lane Cookham SL6 9QT Mr and Mrs Murphy	Over the past few years this building has gone from a garage to an independent dwelling, last year they sought permission to change the agricultural land into residential, which was withdrawn. If minded to approve, We request a condition to stop any further development of this site.
22/02311/TLDTT App date 22/08/2022 Comments to RBWM by 22/09/22	Proposed 5G telecoms installation: H3G street pole and additional equipment cabinets. Junction of Maidenhead Road and Westwood Green Cookham Mr Wilson	OBJECTION: (See detail below)

22/02311/TLDTT - OBJECTION

This is too close to houses and the nursery school as regards intrusion, unsightliness and potential radiation hazard. It is considerably more visually intrusive than the existing mast: it is higher, has a significantly larger diameter pole, has a large group of cabinets at ground level and has a bulky collection of dishes and arrays at the top. On an unscreened corner in an established residential area such as this it will stand out as an offensive alien erection. This is contrary to both paragraph 115 of the NPPF which requires that "equipment should be sympathetically designed and camouflaged where appropriate" - no attempt at all has been made to camouflage this – and to paragraph 2(a) of Policy IF7 of the BLP: "the siting and appearance of the proposed apparatus and associated structures should seek to minimise harm to the visual amenity, character and appearance of the surrounding area" - no such attempt has been made. On the contrary, this mast will be plainly seen when approaching the site either way along Maidenhead Road or from Westwood Green. From these directions the mast will be unscreened by any nearby trees. This is a' homecoming route' to Cookham and the mast would be contrary to G11.1 of the Village Design Statement which provides that "Any planning proposal which may have an impact on any of the approaches to the Village that lie within Cookham Parish [this will be only a short distance from the Cookham Village Conservation Area] should be expected to demonstrate that the impact does not detract from, or is a positive enhancement to, the particular approach." It is also contrary to G13.7 which requires of telecommunications masts that they "should always be visually integrated into their surroundings to the maximum extent possible ... [and] be designed in a minimalist way ... and accompanied by sensitive planting where appropriate" This application is/does none of these. There is also a risk to sight-lines for traffic leaving Westwood Green

Application Number	Applications for Tree Works	Parish Council Decision
22/02132/TCA App date 02/08/2022 Comments to RBWM by 02/09/22	Apple tree – remove.Orchardleigh Cottage Bigfrith Lane CookhamSL6 9PHDr Teuten	<i>No Comment</i> (submitted to Planning on 10.08.22).
22/01938/TCA App date 05/08/2022 Comments to RBWM b 05/09/22	Magnolia Tree – 2m reduction all round, 20% thinning and cut out any dead wood to improve health of tree, Laburnum Tree – fell and Eucryphia Nymansensis – 2m reduction all around and cut out any dead wood to improve health of tree. Frays Cottage Dean Lane Cookham SL6 9AF Ms Johnstone	<i>No comment</i> (submitted to <i>Planning on 31.08.22).</i>
22/02181/TCA App date 09/08/2022 Comments to RBWM b 06/09/22	Plum Pissardi Tree – fell Wyx Cottage Startins Lane Cookham SL6 9AN Mrs Ronald	<i>No comment</i> (submitted to <i>Planning on 02.09.22).</i>
22/02162/TCA App date 24/08/2022 Comments to RBWM b 21/09/22	T1 – Cherry Blossom – crown reduction by 1.5m to a final height of 7m & spread of 8m. Mickleham Cottage Dean Lane Cookham SL6 9AH	No Comment.
22/02391/TCA App date 01/09/2022 Comments to RBWM b 30/09/22	Tree 1 - Juniper - remove lower branches to leave a final spread of 1.5m, Tree 2 - Leyland Cypress - crown reduction by 1.5m to leave a height of 6.5m and a spread of 4m, Tree 3 - Liquid Amber - crown reduction by 1m to leave a height of 5.5m and a spread of 3m, Tree 4 - Varigated Maple - crown reduction by 1m to leave a height of 5m and a spread of 3m, Tree 5 - Japanese Maple - crown reduction by 1m to leave a height of 5m and a spread of 3m, Tree 6 - Photinia - crown reduction by 2m to leave a height of 5m and a spread of 3m, Tree 6 - Photinia - crown reduction by 2m to leave a height of 5m and a spread of 3m, Tree 7 - Lawson Cypress - remove branches overhanging boundary, crown lifting to 1.5m from ground and crown reduction by 1m to leave a height of 6m and a spread of 4m. Oddpots Bigfrith Lane Cookham SL6 9PH Mr Thorne	No Comment.

ii. <u>FOR RATIFICATION - DECISIONS SENT TO THE PLANNING AUTHORITY 12TH</u> <u>OCTOBER 2022 FOR APPLICATIONS FOR DISCUSSED AT THE INQUORATE</u> PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING 11TH OCTOBER.

All decisions for the following planning applications we unanimously ratified.

Application Number	Current Planning Applications	Parish Council Decision
22/02467/FULL	Replacement dwelling with associated parking, landscaping and alterations to the	OBJECTION:
App date 13/09/2022 Comments to RBWM by 12/10/22	existing access following demolition of the existing dwelling and outbuildings. Rosemary School Lane Cookham SL6 9QJ	(See detail below)
	10 Gallon Hat Ltd	

22/02467/FULL - OBJECTION

The Parish Council objects to this application (though not in principle to a suitable proposal) because it is contrary to:

- 1. The basic principle that a site must not be overdeveloped. The height, depth and breadth, of this building and its general mass relative to its own site and the Conservation Area 'type' is excessive, out of character and detrimental to the site, the neighbours' amenities and the Conservation Area.
- 2. Principle 7.5.2 of the BWDG, in that it will have a significant negative effect on the amenity of the occupants of the neighbouring properties due to mass and overlooking, especially but not limited to that from the patio and the rear balcony on the second floor (= third storey).
- 3. Principle 7.5.3 of the BWDG in that it is 3 storeys, an increase from a bungalow, which is out of context with the adjoining and adjacent properties;
- 4. Principle 7.6 of the BWDG in that (a) it does not reflect or integrate well with the spacing height bulk or building footprints of the existing buildings; and (b) its bulk, scale and mass adversely impacts the street scene, local character and neighbour amenities; especially in the Cookham High Street (as it is, Cookham Village as it will shortly be) Conservation Area.
- 5. BWDG Principle 9.1, and in particular the preference expressed there for Figure 8b over figure 7 this appears exactly to replicate what is proposed in this application for gates and front boundary treatment which is described on BWDG page 80 as 'normally more appropriate as side or rear boundary. This can present a 'dead' frontage to a streetscape'. This application is for a high solid wall and gate facing a street without a pavement: it will be very 'dead' and create a tunnel effect.
- 6. It is too high and large properly to pay respect to the building styles, materials and colours of the highly important 'village core' of the Conservation Area, as specified in the Conservation Area Appraisal Document approved by Cabinet on 29 September (pages 18- 22), nor to the significant non-listing buildings there (pages 24 26) to which it is very close. Specifically the appraisal notes that in School Lane 'buildings are lower' (page 30) yet this proposal is 3 storeys; one of the 'key positives' is 'diversity of historic buildings' and one of the 'key negatives' is 'insensitive new development' (ibid).
- 7. Guidance in the Cookham Village Design Statement, to be precise:

G6.1: new buildings should fit comfortably in their surroundings: - the width of frontage, depth and height should be in keeping with other buildings in the area; a new building should respect the general building line/set back from the road and the spacing of buildings which characterise the area.

G6.16: Car parking should be arranged discreetly, avoiding visually dominant hard-standings at the front of buildings. Here virtually the entire 'front garden' is in fact hard-standing for 3 cars (there being no room for a garage).

G6.18: Gates should generally be of an open design to create a welcoming impression and allow views through to the property, garden ... The use of tall solid gates should be avoided. Here the frontage is entirely solid brick wall, solid gates (both vehicular and pedestrian) and part of the rest of the wall.

G6.19a: Existing hedgerows forming residential boundaries should not in general be uprooted. G6.19b: Hedgerows are a very suitable boundary ... and are preferred over slid bard fencing ... Hedgerows may be attractively combined with wooden picket or post and rail fencing; this echoes closely the BWDG Principle 9.1 referred to above.

G6.21: ... To each side of a house, space for greenery should be characteristic of the neighbourhood and proportionate to the building frontage. In this application the building and its patios occupy in effect the entire width of the plot.

JNAPPROVED		2978
Application Number	Current Planning Applications	Parish Council Decision
22/02421/CLU App date 07/09/2022 Comments to RBWM by 06/10/22 Comment extension agreed until 12/10/22	Certificate of lawfulness to determine whether the existing use of sleeping accommodation for seasonal agricultural workers is lawful. Kings Coppice Farm Grubwood Lane Cookham Maidenhead SL6 9UB Mr Tom Copas	OBJECTION No documents identified, prove when the change of use took place.
22/02511/CLU App date 14/09/2022 Comments to RBWM by 18/10/22	Certificate of lawfulness to determine whether the existing use of sleeping accommodation for seasonal agricultural workers is lawful. Kings Coppice Farm Grubwood Lane Cookham Maidenhead SL6 9UB Mr Tom Copas	OBJECTION No documents identified, prove when the change of use took place.
22/02490/Full App date 13/09/2022 Comments to RBWM by 11/10/22 Comment extension agreed until 12/10/22	Detached home office following demolition of the existing shed. Orchardleigh Cottage Bigfrith Lane Cookham SL6 9PH Mr James Phillips	No Comment.
22/02494 /FULL App date 12/09/2022 Comments to RBWM by 12/10/22	Conversion of existing carport to provide habitable accommodation and storage. Marley Cottage Bedwins Lane Cookham SL6 9PU Mr And Mrs Babcock	No Comment.
22/02437/Full App date 13/09/2022 Comments to RBWM by 12/10/22	Amended Plan Single storey rear extension, enlargement of existing dormer and alterations to hardstanding and fenestration. Eastleigh Worster Rd Cookham SL6 9JG Mr And Mrs Barwick	No Comment.
22/02549/LBC App date 26/09/2022 Comments to RBWM 24/10/22	Consent for single storey rear extension, internal alterations, replacement and alterations to fenestration, x2 new rooflight to existing roof, existing ridge tiles to be rebedded, x2 new canopies over entrance doors, alterations to external finishes, new ventilation for existing cellar, replacement roof to existing outbuilding, new patio areas, landscaping, new driveway, new and alterations to boundary treatment, widening of access, x1 new manhole cover and alterations to an existing manhole cover. Old Timbers The Pound Cookham SL6 9QE Mr And Mrs S Painter	No Comment.
22/02548/Full App date 22/09/2022 Comments to RBWM 25/10/22	Single storey rear extension, replacement and alterations to fenestration, x2 new rooflight to existing roof, existing ridge tiles to be rebedded, x2 new canopies over entrance doors, alterations to external finishes, replacement roof to existing outbuilding, new patio areas, landscaping, new driveway, new and alterations to boundary treatment and widening of access. Old Timbers The Pound Cookham SL6 9QE Mr And Mrs S Painter	No Comment.
22/02428/CPD App date 5/09/2022 Comments to RBWM n/a	Amended Plans – Not reconsulting Certificate of lawfulness to determine whether the proposed 7no. solar panels to the front elevation and 5no. solar panels to the rear elevation is lawful Woodwards School Lane Cookham Dean SL6 9PQ	No Comment.

JNAPPROVED			
Application Number	Current Planning Applications	Parish Council Decision	
22/02465 /CPD	Amended Plans – Not reconsulting Certificate of lawfulness to determine whether	No Comment.	
App date 5/09/2022 Comments to RBWM n/a	the proposed 1no. rear dormer to facilitate a loft conversion is lawful. 6 Roman Lea Cookham SL6 9BZ		
22/02553/Full	1no. refuse store and 1no. detached outbuilding following the partial demolition of	No Comment.	
App date 19/09/2022 Comments to RBWM 1/11/22	the existing garage. 114 Broom Hill Cookham SL6 9LQ Mr Jason Gallagher		
22/02605/Full App date 3/10/2022 Comments to RBWM 1/11/22	New carport to the Coach House Mulberry House Church Road Cookham Dean SL6 9PD Mr Gary Stevens	No Comment.	
22/02539/Full	Sub-division of the existing dwelling to create x2 dwellings incorporating a single storey side	OBJECTION There is not enough	ab
App date 3/10/2022 Comments to RBWM 1/11/22	extension, alterations to fenestration, new decking and boundaries Genista Cockmarsh Riverside Bourne End SL8 5RG Rachel Oliver	information to mal positive comment	ke a

Application Number	Applications for Tree Works	Parish Council Decision
	T1 - Mulberry tree - reduce height by 1m and spread by 1.5m, T2 - Holly tree - reduce height by 2m, cut back low branches by 1m, thin out by 20%, T3 - Smoke Bush - reduce by 2m back to previous pruning points. Ashton Alleyns Lane Cookham SL6 9AE Emma Cook	No Comment.
22/02429/TCA App date 05/09/2022 Comments to RBWM by 06/10/22	(T1) European Silver Fir - fell and grind stump. Fieldfare Startins Lane Cookham SL6 9AN Mr Arnold	No Comment.
22/02501/TPO App date 14/09/2022 Comments to RBWM by 12/10/22	(T6 & T7) Quecus Robur X 2 - crown reduce by Xm to leave a height and spread of Xm, crown lift to Xm above ground level and tip reduce (033/2000/TPO). Street Record From Spring Lane To Bigfrith Lane Cookham Dean Mr McArdle	CPC note the recent change of address for this application and that it is not within Cookham Parish.
22/02403/TPO App date 26/09/2022 Comments to RBWM by 26/10/22	Conifer - fell. (030/2020/TPO) Carisbrook Bradcutts Lane Cookham Dean Maidenhead SL6 9AA Mr Stephen Wray	There is no Arboricultural report attached to this application. CPC query if the tree needs to be felled.
22/02410/TPO App date 27/09/2022 Comments to RBWM by 26/10/22	(T1) Cypress- crown reduce by approximately 3-4m to leave a height of 7- 8m and spread of 3m. (027/2003/TPO). Herron Court Sandpipers Place Cookham SL6 9PF Sandpipers Residents Sandpipers c/o Agent: Mr C Burnard Cedar Park Tree Care	No Comment.

4. **RBWM Decisions:**

22/00863/LBC	Mr Coopers Coffee House, The Nest, High Street SL6 9SJ	Permitted
22/00862/Full	Mr Coopers Coffee House, The Nest, High Street SL6 9SJ	Permitted
22/01539/Full	Halfway Houses, Maidenhead Road	Withdrawn
22/01906/Full	Shelleys, High Street Cookham SL6 9SF	Permitted
22/01907/Full	Shelleys, High Street Cookham SL6 9SF	Permitted
22/02109/CPD	Fernie Cottage, Grubwood Lane, Cookham SL6 9UD	Permitted Dev
22/02157/Var	Danes Cottage, Danes Gardens Cookham SL6 9BF	Permitted
22/02311/TDLL	Junc M'head Rd and Westwood Green Cookham	Permitted
22/02429/TCA	Fieldfare, Startins Lane, CookhamSL6 9AN	Permitted
22/02465/CLP	6 Roman Lea, Cookham SL6 9BZ	Permitted Dev
22/00578/Full	1 Hill side Lower Road Cookham SL6 9HL	Permitted
22/01487/Full	Charnwold, Hills Lane, Cookham SL6 9NT	Refused
22/01860/Full	Englefield, Poundfield Lane, Cookham SL6 9RY	Permitted
22/02103/CONDIT	Oaklands Farm, Spring Lane, Cookham Dean SL6 9PN	Condition discharged
22/02159/Full	Orchard House, Bigfrith Lane, Cookham Dean SL6 9UJ	Permitted
22/02242/VAR	Dawn Chorus, Poundfield Lane Cookham SL6 9RY	Permitted
22/02263/LBC	Kings Arms High Street Cookham Maidenhead SL6 9SJ	Permitted
22/02330/Full	Moonbeams Cottage Hills Lane Cookham Maidenhead SL6 9N	Application Withdrawn
22/02372/Full	Dene Glade Lower Road Cookham Maidenhead SL6 9EL	Permitted
22/02391/TCA	Oddpots Bigfrith Lane Cookham Maidenhead SL6 9PH	Permitted
22/02450/TCA	Ashton Alleyns Lane Cookham Maidenhead SL6 9AE	Permitted
21/01777/CPD	Beechwood Winter Hill Cookham SL6 9TT	Application Withdrawn
22/01528/CONDIT	Dene Hollow Cookham Dean Bottom Cookham SL6 9AR	Refuse
22/02014/LBC	Old Timbers The Pound Cookham SL6 9QE	Permitted
22/02245/Full	Rose Cottage Kings Lane Cookham SL6 9TZ	Refuse
22/02255/Full	Brinsdale Terrys Lane Cookham SL6 9RZ	Permitted
22/02416/Full	Garage Mill House East Mill Lane Cookham SL6 9QT	Permitted
22/02561/TCA	Riverdene Sutton Road Cookham SL6 9SN	Permitted

The meeting was paused at 8.50pm as the fire alarm went off in the building.

The meeting moved to the carpark outside the Community Room whilst the situation was investigated. It is noted that whilst the Clerk resolved the issue with the alarm and the fire brigade, Councillors continued the meeting and took notes on behalf of the Clerk.

Cllr I Wernham left the meeting at 9pm.

6. TO DISCUSS PL/AP 21/02963/FULL - NEW POLY TUNNELS FOR REARING TURKEYS WITH ASSOCIATED FEED SILOS AND SUBSTANTIAL FORMATION OF ROAD CHIPPINGS TO FORM A NETWORK OF TRACKS AT LAND WEST OF SWITCHBACK ROAD NORTH AND NORTH OF NIGHTINGALE LANE MAIDENHEAD AND APPROVE A PROPOSAL TO WRITE TO RBWM HEAD OF PLANNING, MR A WAITE TO CHASE UP THE QUESTION OF WATER POLLUTION AND OTHER OUTSTANDING QUERIES FROM THE SITE:

Some reports and documentation had been outstanding from the applicant which the Planning Authority were waiting for before Officers could make a decision. Cllrs were frustrated with this as the business had continue to raise turkeys at the site during this time.

Cllr Brar in her capacity as Borough Cllr, had queried the application with the Head of Planning, Adrien Waite, who had confirmed that outstanding documentation had been received and the Officers can proceed. No further action to be taken at this stage.

7. TO RECEIVE ANY UPDATE ON THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT SCHEME FOR THE LAND AT STRANDE PARK (BLP SITE AL38, 22/00343/OUT) AND TO CONSIDER AND APPROVE ANY FURTHER STEPS: There was nothing further to report

There was nothing further to report.

8. <u>CHAIRMAN'S SUBMISSIONS:</u> None.

The meeting returned to the Community room following the all clear from the Fire Service.

The Meeting closed at 9.20pm.