

MINUTES

MEETING OF COOKHAM NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN WORKING PARTY

WEDNESDAY 09 NOV 2022 AT 6.00PM COMMUNITY ROOM COOKHAM LIBRARY & ZOOM

PRESENT:

Cllr Bill Perry (“WJP”) (Chairman) (Cookham Parish Council)

Cllr Chris Doyle (“CD”) (Cookham Parish Council)

Cllr Jane Perry (“JP”) (Cookham Parish Council)

Cllr Laura Tull (“LT”) (Cookham Parish Council)

Cllr Jacqui Edwards (“JE”) (Cookham Parish Council)

Lars Ahlgren (“LA”) (Wild Cookham)

Dr Shez Courtney-Smith (“SCS”) (Trustee of Stanley Spencer Gallery)

Dick Scarff (“DS”) (Cookham Society)

Nic Dawkes (“ND”) (Save Cookham)

Mr P Strzelecki (“PS”) (Cookham Footprint)

Jon Herbert (“JH”) (Troy)

Nina Milner (“NM”) (CNPWP Administrator)

1.	Welcomes and Apologies for meeting absences	Actions
	<p>Cllr Mark Howard (“MH”) (Cookham Parish Council)</p> <p>WJP welcomed everyone and regrettably informed that since the last meeting the CPC has had two deaths; a Parish Council member Cllr Martin Coker and Cllr Tracy Suleiman’s husband, which has resulted in her resigning from the council. Therefore, we have two new Cllrs Laura Tull and Jacqui Edwards who were co-opted to the Parish Council at the Council meeting on Monday. Laura and Jacqui were welcomed to the meeting.</p> <p>JH had not been able to make it to the meeting in person due to the train strike, so joined the meeting via zoom.</p> <p>WJP expressed his thanks to JH for the Oct 22 draft version of the CNP.</p> <p>WJP advised that The Royal Borough had recently chased for progress on the draft CNP. The Royal Borough put money in the budget 22 year and therefore are chasing to see how we are getting on with it. JH is in touch with Gary Thornton from the Royal Borough. WJP wanted approval from the WP to submit this draft version to the Royal Borough, with the caveat that we will still be making tweaks to it, but there are things they need to get on. They have to do the SEA and HRA which can take 3 months. It also means they can look at</p>	

	<p>it and if anything is out of line, then it can be highlighted. We believe we are in line with everything in the statutory BLP.</p> <p>WP agreed to submit the draft Oct 22 version of the CNP to the Royal Borough. JH to action. However, it was thought that any changes made today will not have time to be added before being sent to the borough. JH will be able to confirm following the meeting.</p> <p>The Landowners have also been chasing on the draft and progress. WJP asked for approval for this draft to be also sent the landowners. DS & LA felt that we should update the draft further before sending to the landowners. WJP did not agree. WP agreed to review this at the end of the meeting and decide.</p> <p>WJP expressed his thanks to SCS for the enormous amount of work and effort she has done for appendix 5.</p>	<p>JH</p>
<p>2.</p>	<p>Review of latest draft from Troy, including latest draft appendix by Dr Courtenay-Smith</p> <p>Wild Cookham’s considered comments sent by LA just prior to the meeting. WJP asked if any of the other groups would like to circulate anything further to the WP for consideration. DS advised he did from Cookham Society who have some further comments on the policies from the CNP Oct 22 draft version, which he still needs to submit to the WP. ND & SCS were fine to proceed with no additional comments to be circulated. PS has some comments ready to discuss at the meeting.</p> <p>WJP suggested this meeting concentrated on the polices then on the projects, to see if the policies broadly have the approval of the WP. Then we will know if we are heading in the right direction. The WP will go through the policies and tick off the ones where there is agreement and then look at the other ones in more details where there are details to discuss. WP agreed this approach.</p> <p>JH was fine to follow this approach in the meeting. He would still like to share this with Gary at RBMW to get their feedback first, before making any substantial changes and before it goes forward to anything like ‘public consultation.’</p> <p>JH confirmed that the CNP policy has a higher order than the SPD.</p>	

CNP Oct 22 v3 draft feedback:

Vision – WP Approved

Introduction and Objectives:

Page	WP Comments	Actions	Status
P6 Item 1.6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Re description of Cookham Rise to be done. ▪ Box 2 is fine. 	JH to amend.	Changes to be applied by JH.
P13 Item 3.7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Can prior approval apply for permitted development? WP would like this to apply if possible. 	JH double check on the process.	JH to check and respond back to WP.

Policies:

Policy No	Comments	Actions	Status
C-DP1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ DS did not agree, as would like something more specific on the prevention of tall buildings. WP agreed that they would want to CNP to be more stringent to prevent and agree on the Cookham Society’s principal. ▪ WJP we will need to park the parking comment while Cookham Society reviews and submits some further wording. ▪ JH to make clear that the requirements of this document on height, prevails over the SPD and mandatory level. 	<p>DS to come back on this.</p> <p>JH to action.</p>	WP further review required.
C-DP2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Item 4 - DS raised can we add prior approval for permitted development required. WP agreed. ▪ 1 f) SCS can we add in wrought iron as this is one of Cookham’s traditional fencing types. WP agreed. ▪ 1 g) DS – can we changed to ‘permeable services should be used wherever practical.’ WP agreed. 	JH to action.	WP signed off this policy once the updates have been applied.
C-DP3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ DS asked if we needed a policy on back gardens. WJP said yes, he felt we do. ▪ DS would like wording to be added re the provision of parking. 	DS to come back on this one.	WP further review required.
C-DP4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Heritage – WJP to request a map from MAS. Needs to lay out where there have been prior historical items of interest. 	Leave open till comments received.	WP further review required.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> WP did not agree this policy yet as Cookham Society are not comfortable. 		
C-DP5A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> PS commented that the CNP reads as if we accepted that we would accept these three sites. Yet CPC has objected to this. All three of these sites in the BLP have been deemed as strategic sites. PS traffic management comments - point accepted by the WP. DS asked if we could request from the Parish Council to consider a TPO on AL36 & AL38 sites. WP agreed we should ask for this. 	<p>JH to review how this can be added to the NP.</p> <p>WJP to action.</p>	WP further review required.
C-DP5B	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1 h) JH to check on areas of ancient woodland adjacent to the site. 1 f) DS raised why this paragraph is not on all sites? WP agreed this need to be added to the other two sites. 1 g) Insert 'wherever possible' to this paragraph. WP agreed. 	JH to action.	WP signed off this policy once the updates have been applied.
C-DP5C	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1 b) DS commented the word 'network' is the issue in this paragraph. 	JH to review.	WP signed off this policy once the review and any updates have been applied.
C-CDP6			WP signed off this policy.
C-CDP7			WP further review required.
C-DP8			WP signed off this policy.
C-EN1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1)DS raised a question over where did the 10% come from. JH advised it is in the environment act. This will be enforced from next year. JH to insert a map in the CNP that names/identification of these important wildlife habitat sites and appropriate wording on how the Parish Council will xxx it. Build on the map already on p82. WP agreed to this. 	JH to action.	WP signed off this policy once the updates have been applied.
C-EN2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> LA provided some examples in a map which outline where the green corridors were. In the draft on p47 there is a very loose reference to green wildlife corridors. LA thinks we need to be specific. LA to send JH a map that he would like to 	LA & JH to action.	WP signed off this policy once the updates have been applied.

	<p>incorporate into the CNP. JH will consider this. Look at C-EN2 and CI1 to make sure that between them they include LA's point. WP agreed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Paragraph one – amendment required, inset the words 'wherever possible' after applicants are required. ▪ Paragraph two - add in Semi - mature and native species. 		
C-EN3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ DS & PS to liaise with JH about the technicalities of this policy. 	DS/PS/JH to action.	WP further review required.
C-CI1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ WP further review required. 		WP further review required.
C-CI2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ SCS will work with JH on this policy as the way forward. WP agreed with this approach. 	SCS and JH to action.	WP further review required.
C-CI3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Box 6 which goes with this, needs reviewing and revising. 	JH to action.	WP signed off this policy once the updates have been applied.
C-CI4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Add in reference to Poundfield in paragraph 1, which is already a designated Local Green Space. JH to action. ▪ Add in the other 2 Cemeteries in Cookham (St John's and Holy Trinity). JH to review and do assessment if these meet the criteria. ▪ JH to check about Winter Hill Golf Club and if this should/could be included. 	JH to action all points.	WP signed off this policy once the updates have been applied.
C-LI1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Paragraph 1 - JH to re draft and re word. ▪ Split paragraph 2 in to 2 points. Make schemes and proposed uses a new point. ▪ Box 7 – WJP to compile list for submission. 	<p>JH to action.</p> <p>JH to action.</p> <p>WJP to action.</p>	WP signed off this policy once the updates have been applied.
C-LI2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Paragraph 1 – delete - from loss ▪ Paragraph 3 add- for its residents after parish. JH to action. 	JH to action both points.	WP signed off this policy once the updates have been applied.
C-LI3			WP signed off this policy.

	<p>Meeting adjourned. Still to review at next meeting.</p> <p>Policy C-LI4: PS to document his wording for this and circulate.</p> <p>Policy C-AM1: Policy C-AM2: Policy C-AM3:</p>	
3.	<p>AOB</p> <p>WJP to forward AECOM documents to PS.</p> <p>DS to circulate Cookham Society's detailed view, in advance of the next meeting.</p> <p>Projects to be looked at, at the next meeting.</p> <p>Decision by the WP was to not send the draft CNP Oct 22 document to the Landowners.</p> <p>WJP & CD would like their dissent from this decision minuted.</p>	<p>WJP DS</p>
4.	<p>Date/time of next meeting</p> <p>29 Nov 22 - 6pm</p>	

FINAL APPROVAL